

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/817,459	Applicant(s) SCHWERTFEGER ET AL.	
	Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier	Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Robert J. Follett.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None.

Claims discussed:
None.

Prior art documents discussed:
None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Robert M. Amici.

(4) _____.

Time: ~ 5:40 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner had indicated the corss-noting section required updating. Said correction could be done by examiner's amendment but said amendment would require a one month extension of time. Applicants requested the one month extension of time be charged (\$ 120) to the deposit account no. 03-0060 and authoirized the examiner's amendment.